

Jacobi Polynomials from Compatibility Conditions *

Yang Chen
 Department of Mathematics
 Imperial College
 180 Queen's Gate,
 London SW7 2BZ, UK
 email: ychen@imperial.ac.uk

Mourad Ismail
 Department of Mathematics
 University of South Florida,
 Tampa, Florida 33620
 USA
 email: ismail@math.usf.edu

Abstract

We revisit the ladder operators for orthogonal polynomials and re-interpret two supplementary conditions as compatibility conditions of two linear over-determined systems; one involves the variation of the polynomials with respect to the variable z (spectral parameter) and the other a recurrence relation in n (the lattice variable). For the Jacobi weight $w(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$, $x \in [-1, 1]$, we show how to use the compatibility conditions to explicitly determine the recurrence coefficients of the monic Jacobi polynomials.

Running title: Jacobi polynomials

1 Introduction and Preliminaries.

We begin with some notation. Let $P_n(x)$ be monic polynomials of degree n in x and orthogonal, with respect to a weight, $w(x)$, $x \in [a, b]$;

$$(1.1) \quad \int_a^b P_m(x)P_n(x)w(x)dx = h_n\delta_{m,n}.$$

*Research supported by NSF grant DMS 99-70865 and by EPSRC grant GR/S14108

We further assume that $v'(z) := -w'(z)/w(z)$ exists and that $y^n[v'(x) - v'(y)]w(y)/(x - y)$ is integrable on $[a, b]$ for all n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$. From the orthogonality condition there follows the recurrence relation,

$$(1.2) \quad zP_n(z) = P_{n+1}(z) + \alpha_n P_n(z) + \beta_n P_{n-1}(z), n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where $\beta_0 P_{-1}(z) := 0$, α_n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is real and $\beta_n > 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

In this paper we describe a formalism which derives properties of orthogonal polynomials, and their recurrence coefficients, from the knowledge of the weight function. We believe this is a new and interesting approach to orthogonal polynomials. In order to keep this work accessible we will only include the example of Jacobi polynomials. We defer in a future publication, the analysis in the case of the generalized Jacobi weights [11], [8]. In the Jacobi case we find the recurrence relations in §2. In §3 we show how our approach leads to the evaluation of monic Jacobi polynomials at $x = \pm 1$. We also show that the evaluation of a Jacobi polynomial at $x = 1$ or $x = -1$ leads to explicit representations of the Jacobi polynomials. Closed form expressions for the normalization constants h_n are also found.

The actions of the ladder operators on $P_n(z)$ and $P_{n-1}(z)$ are,

$$(1.3) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dz} + B_n(z) \right) P_n(z) = \beta_n A_n(z) P_{n-1}(z)$$

$$(1.4) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dz} - B_n(z) - v'(z) \right) P_{n-1}(z) = -A_{n-1}(z) P_n(z),$$

with

$$(1.5) \quad A_n(z) := \frac{w(y) P_n^2(y)}{h_n(y - z)} \Big|_{y=a}^{y=b} + \frac{1}{h_n} \int_a^b \frac{v'(z) - v'(y)}{z - y} P_n^2(y) w(y) dy,$$

$$(1.6) \quad B_n(z) := \frac{w(y) P_n(y) P_{n-1}(y)}{h_n(y - z)} \Big|_{y=a}^{y=b} + \frac{1}{h_{n-1}} \int_a^b \frac{v'(z) - v'(y)}{z - y} P_{n-1}(y) P_n(y) w(y) dy,$$

where we have used the supplementary condition,

$$B_{n+1}(z) + B_n(z) = (z - \alpha_n) A_n(z) - v'(z), \quad (S_1)$$

to arrive at (1.4). The equations (1.3)–(1.6) and the supplementary condition (S_1) , was derived by Bonan and Clark [4], Bauldry [3], and Mhaskar [10] for polynomial $\mathbf{v}$, and the Authors [7] for general $\mathbf{v}$. Ismail and Wimp [9] identified the additional supplementary condition,

$$B_{n+1}(z) - B_n(z) = \frac{\beta_{n+1}A_{n+1}(z) - \beta_n A_{n-1}(z) - 1}{z - \alpha_n}. \quad (S_2)$$

Our thesis in this work is that the supplementary conditions, (S_1) and (S_2) , being identities in $n, (n > 0)$ and $z \in \mathbf{C} \cup \infty$ have the information needed to determine the recurrence coefficients and other auxilliary quantities. We illustrate this by systematically using (S_1) and (S_2) to determine most of the properties of the Jacobi polynomials. See [14], [2], and [12], for information concerning the Jacobi polynomials. In describing our results we shall follow the standard notation for shifted factorial and hypergeometric functions in [2], [12].

Below, we reinterpret (S_2) . We set

$$(1.7) \quad \Phi_n(z) := \begin{pmatrix} P_n(z) \\ P_{n-1}(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(1.8) \quad \mathbf{M}_n(z) := \begin{pmatrix} -B_n(z) & \beta_n A_n(z) \\ -A_{n-1}(z) & B_n(z) + \mathbf{v}'(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(1.9) \quad \mathbf{U}_n(z) := \begin{pmatrix} z - \alpha_n & -\beta_n \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now equations (1.3) and (1.4) become,

$$(1.10) \quad \Phi'_n(z) = \mathbf{M}_n(z)\Phi_n(z),$$

and the recurrence relations become,

$$(1.11) \quad \Phi_{n+1}(z) = \mathbf{U}_n(z)\Phi_n(z).$$

We find, by requiring (1.10) and (1.11) be compatible;

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'_{n+1}(z) &= \mathbf{M}_{n+1}(z)\Phi_{n+1}(z) \\ &= \mathbf{M}_{n+1}(z)\mathbf{U}_n(z)\Phi_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi'_{n+1}(z) &= \mathbf{U}'_n(z)\Phi_n(z) + \mathbf{U}_n(z)\Phi'_n(z) \\ &= \mathbf{U}'_n(z)\Phi_n(z) + \mathbf{U}_n(z)\mathbf{M}_n(z)\Phi_n(z).\end{aligned}$$

We now write the above equations in matrix form as

$$(1.12) \quad \mathbf{S}_n(z)\Phi_n(z) = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{S}_n(z)$ is the matrix whose entries are

$$\begin{aligned}(1.13) \quad \mathbf{S}_n(z) &:= \mathbf{U}'_n(z) + \mathbf{U}_n(z)\mathbf{M}_n(z) - \mathbf{M}_{n+1}(z)\mathbf{U}_n(z) \\ \mathbf{S}_n^{11}(z) &= 1 + (z - \alpha_n)(B_{n+1}(z) - B_n(z)) \\ &\quad + \beta_n A_{n-1}(z) - \beta_{n+1} A_{n+1}(z) \\ \mathbf{S}_n^{12}(z) &= -\beta_n (B_{n+1}(z) + B_n(z) + \mathbf{v}'(z) - (z - \alpha_n)A_n(z)) \\ \mathbf{S}_n^{21}(z) &= S_n^{12}(z)/\beta_n \\ \mathbf{S}_n^{22}(z) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Here $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $z \in \mathbf{C} \cup \infty$. Observe that with (S_1) , $S_n^{12}(z) = S_n^{21}(z) = 0$. This leaves $S_n^{11}(z)P_n(z) = 0$. Since $P_n(z)$ does not vanish identically, we must have $S_n^{11}(z) = 0$, which is (S_2) . It is clear from (1.5) and (1.6) that, if $\mathbf{v}'(z)$ is a rational function then $A_n(z)$ and $B_n(z)$ are also rational functions. This is particularly useful for our purpose, which is to determine the recurrence coefficients, α_n and β_n . In the next section, we illustrate the method by considering the Jacobi weight $w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = (1 - x)^\alpha(1 + x)^\beta$ for $x \in [-1, 1]$.

Recall that the numerator polynomials [13], [1] are

$$(1.14) \quad Q_n(z) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P_n(z) - P_n(y)}{z - y} w(y) dy,$$

and $\{P_n(z)\}$ and $\{Q_n(z)\}$ form a basis of solutions of the recurrence relation. We shall also use the notation

$$(1.15) \quad F(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{w(y)}{z - y} dy,$$

for the Stieltjes transform of the weight function.

2 Jacobi Weight

The Jacobi weight is $w^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta; x \in [-1, 1]$, and for now we take α and β to be strictly positive. It will become clear, using a real analyticity argument, the results that follows are also valid for $\alpha, \beta > -1$. Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)\}$ and $\{\mathcal{Q}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)\}$ denote the monic Jacobi polynomials, and their numerators, respectively, see (1.14). Moreover in the present example, the Stieltjes transform of $w^{\alpha,\beta}$ will be denoted by $F^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)$.

From (1.5)–(1.6) we find

$$\begin{aligned} h_n A_n(z) &= \frac{\alpha}{1-z} \int_{-1}^1 [\mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)]^2 (1-y)^{\alpha-1} (1+y)^\beta dy \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{1+z} \int_{-1}^1 [\mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)]^2 (1-y)^\alpha (1+y)^{\beta-1} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Through integration by parts, it readily follows that,

$$(2.1) \quad A_n(z) = -\frac{R_n}{z-1} + \frac{R_n}{z+1},$$

for some constant R_n . Similarly we find

$$(2.2) \quad B_n(z) = -\frac{n+r_n}{z-1} + \frac{r_n}{z+1}.$$

Here R_n and r_n are given by

$$(2.3) \quad R_n = R_n(\alpha, \beta) := \frac{\beta}{h_n} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{[\mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)]^2}{1+y} w^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) dy,$$

$$(2.4) \quad r_n = r_n(\alpha, \beta) := \frac{\beta}{h_{n-1}} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)}{1+y} w^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) dy.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(\alpha, \beta) &= \frac{\beta}{h_n} \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-1) \left[\mathcal{Q}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-1) - F^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-1) \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-1) \right], \\ r_n(\alpha, \beta) &= \frac{\beta}{h_{n-1}} \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-1) \left[\mathcal{Q}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-1) - F^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-1) \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The reader may ask, “What is the point of this formalism? Since in the attempt to find α_n and β_n , two new unknown quantities, R_n and r_n , have been introduced.” However when v' is a rational function both sides of (S_1) and (S_2) , are rational functions and by equating coefficients and residues of both sides of (S_1) and (S_2) , we shall arrive at four equations which should be sufficient for the determination of R_n and r_n as well as α_n and β_n . Equating residues at $z = -1$ and $z = +1$, of (S_1) , gives

$$(2.5) \quad -2n - 1 - r_n - r_{n+1} = \alpha - R_n(1 - \alpha_n)$$

$$(2.6) \quad r_n + r_{n+1} = \beta - R_n(1 + \alpha_n).$$

Similarly, from (S_2) , we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad (r_n - r_{n+1} - 1)(1 - \alpha_n) = \beta_n R_{n-1} - \beta_{n+1} R_{n+1}$$

$$(2.8) \quad (r_n - r_{n+1})(1 + \alpha_n) = \beta_{n+1} R_{n+1} - \beta_n R_{n-1}.$$

Observe that R_n can be obtained immediately by adding (2.5) and (2.6);

$$(2.9) \quad R_n = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1).$$

The sum (2.7) of (2.8) gives,

$$(2.10) \quad 1 - \alpha_n = 2(r_n - r_{n+1}),$$

while (2.8) minus (2.7) and with (2.9) gives,

$$(2.11) \quad \beta - \alpha - 2n - 1 - (\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1)\alpha_n = 2(r_n + r_{n+1}).$$

Now, (2.10) plus (2.11) implies,

$$(2.12) \quad 4r_n = \beta - \alpha - 2n - (\alpha + \beta + 2n + 2)\alpha_n$$

and (2.11) minus (2.10) implies,

$$(2.13) \quad 4r_{n+1} = \beta - \alpha - 2n - 2 - (\alpha + \beta + 2n)\alpha_n.$$

When (2.12) and (2.13) are made compatible, we obtain a first order difference equation satisfied by α_n :

$$(2.14) \quad \alpha_{n+1}(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 4) - \alpha_n(\alpha + \beta + 2n) = 0,$$

which has a very simple “integrating factor,” $\alpha + \beta + 2n + 2$. Using this, we find,

$$\alpha_n = \frac{C_1}{(2R_n - 1)(2R_n + 1)}.$$

where C_1 is a “integration” constant, determined by the initial condition,

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_0} = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha + \beta + 2}, \quad C_1 = \beta^2 - \alpha^2.$$

Here $\mu_j := \int_{-1}^1 t^j w(t) dt$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$ are the moments. Therefore we have established

$$(2.15) \quad \alpha_n = \frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}{(\alpha + \beta + 2n)(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 2)}.$$

Going back to (2.8) and using (2.10), we see that β_n satisfies the linear difference equation:

$$(2.16) \quad \beta_{n+1}R_{n+1} - \beta_nR_{n-1} = \frac{1 - \alpha_n^2}{2},$$

which has the “integrating factor” R_n . Therefore,

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_n R_n R_{n-1} &= C_2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - \alpha_j^2) R_j \\ &= C_2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{C_1^2}{(4R_j^2 - 1)^2} \right) R_j, \end{aligned}$$

where C_2 is another integration constant to be determined by the initial condition

$$\beta_1 = \frac{h_1}{h_0} = \frac{h_1}{\mu_0} = \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_0} - \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_0} \right)^2 = \frac{4(\alpha + 1)(\beta + 1)}{(\alpha + \beta + 2)^2(\alpha + \beta + 3)}.$$

After some computations,

$$C_2 = \beta_1 R_0 R_1 - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha_0^2) R_0 = 0.$$

Now the sum (2.17), may look complicated, however, with a partial fraction expansion, the sum can be taken and leads to

$$\beta_n = \frac{n(n + \alpha)(n + \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta)}{(2n + \alpha + \beta)^2 R_n R_{n-1}}.$$

Therefore, after some simplifications we establish

$$(2.18) \quad \beta_n = \frac{4n(n + \alpha)(n + \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta)}{(2n + \alpha + \beta)^2 (2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)(2n + \alpha + \beta - 1)}.$$

3 Explicit Formulas

We first determine $\mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\pm 1)$. Write (1.3) as,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) &= \frac{(n + r_n) \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) - \beta_n R_n \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z)}{z - 1} \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta_n R_n \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) - r_n \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z)}{z + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

and since $\frac{d}{dz} \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z)$ is regular at $z = \pm 1$, we arrive at

$$(n + r_n) \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1) - \beta_n R_n \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1) = 0,$$

$$\beta_n R_n \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-1) - r_n \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-1) = 0.$$

Thus we find

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1) = \mathcal{P}_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\beta_j R_j}{r_j + j},$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-1) = \mathcal{P}_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-1) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\beta_j R_j}{r_j}.$$

Substituting for β_n , r_n and R_n from (2.9), (2.12), and (2.18), and applying (2.15) we prove that

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-1) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(j + \beta)(j + \alpha + \beta)}{[j + (\alpha + \beta/2)][j + (\alpha + \beta - 1/2)]}.$$

Using the facts $(\lambda)_n = \Gamma(\lambda + n)/\Gamma(\lambda)$, $(2\lambda)_{2n} = 4^n (\lambda)_n (\lambda + 1/2)_n$ we rewrite the above equation as

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-1) = \frac{(-1)^n 2^n (\beta + 1)_n}{(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)_n}.$$

Similarly

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1) = \frac{2^n (\alpha + 1)_n}{(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)_n}.$$

We next evaluate h_n , the squares of the L^2 norms. In general (1.1) and (1.2) yield, [12]

$$(3.4) \quad h_n = h_0 \beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_n.$$

The beta integral evaluation gives

$$(3.5) \quad h_0 = 2^{\alpha + \beta + 1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)}.$$

Thus

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{-1}^1 \mathcal{P}_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) (1 - x)^\alpha (1 + x)^\beta dx = h_n \delta_{m, n},$$

with

$$(3.7) \quad h_n = \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+n+1} \Gamma(\alpha+n+1) \Gamma(\beta+1) n!}{(\alpha+\beta+n+1)_n \Gamma(\alpha+\beta+n+2n+2)}.$$

We now prove that

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{d}{dz} \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) = n \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(z)$$

For $\alpha > -1$, $\beta > -1$, and $m < n-1$ integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1}^1 x^m \left(\frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \right) (1-x)^{\alpha+1} (1+x)^{\beta+1} dx \\ &= - \int_{-1}^1 \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) f(x) (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta dx \end{aligned}$$

where $f(x) = x^{m-1}[m+x(\beta-\alpha)-x^2(\alpha+\beta+m+2)]$. Since f has degree at most $n-1$, the above integral must vanish and we conclude that $\frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ is orthogonal to all polynomials of degree less than $n-1$ with respect to $w^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x)$. The uniqueness of the orthogonal polynomials and the fact that $\mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$, $n \geq 0$ are monic, establish (3.8). Clearly (3.8) and (3.2) give

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \right|_{x=-1} &= \frac{n!}{(n-k)} \mathcal{P}_{n-k}^{(k+\alpha,k+\beta)}(-1) \\ &= \frac{(-2)^{n-k} (\beta+k)_{n-k}}{(\alpha+\beta+n+k+1)_{n-k}} \end{aligned}$$

The Taylor series about $x = -1$ now gives the representation

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) &= \frac{(-2)^n (\beta+1)_n}{(\alpha+\beta+1)_n} \\ &\times {}_2F_1(-n, n+\alpha+\beta+1; \beta+1; (1+x)/2), \end{aligned}$$

which we recognized to be the monic Jacobi polynomials. Similarly (3.3) and (3.8) give the alternate representation

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) &= \frac{(2)^n (\alpha+1)_n}{(\alpha+\beta+1)_n} \\ &\times {}_2F_1(-n, n+\alpha+\beta+1; \alpha+1; (1-x)/2), \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] N. I. Akhiezer, The Classical Moment Problem and Some Related Questions in Analysis, English translation, Oliver and Boyed, Edinburgh, 1965.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, R. A. Askey, and R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [3] W. Bauldry, *Estimates of asymmetric Freud polynomials on the real line*, J. Approximation Theory **63** (1990), 225–237.
- [4] S. S. Bonan and D. S. Clark, *Estimates of the Hermite and the Freud polynomials*, J. Approximation Theory **63** (1990), 210–224.
- [5] S. Bonan; D. S. Lubinsky; and P. Nevai, Derivatives of Orthogonal Polynomials and characterization of weights , in “*Approximation Theory 5*”, Academic Press, New York, 1986, pp. pp.271–274.
- [6] S. Bonan; D. S. Lubinsky; and P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials and their derivatives. II. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **18** (1987), 1163–1176.
- [7] Y. Chen and M. E. H. Ismail, Ladder operators and differential equations for orthogonal polynomials, J. Phys. A **30** (1997) 7818–7829.
- [8] M. E. H. Ismail, An extremal problem for generalized Jacobi polynomials, in “Proc. of the Mathematics Conference, Birzeit University”, S. Elaydi et al, eds., World Scientific, 2000, pp. 139–145.
- [9] M. E. H. Ismail and J. Wimp, *On differential equations for orthogonal polynomials*, Methods and Applications of Analysis, **5**(4) (1998), 439–452.
- [10] H. N. Mhaskar, Bounds for Certain Freud-Type Orthogonal Polynomials, J. Approx. Theory **63** (1990), 238–254.
- [11] P. Nevai, Géza Freud, orthogonal polynomials and Christoffel functions: A case study, J. Approx. Theory **48** (1986), 3–167.

- [12] E. D. Rainville, *Special Functions*, Chelsea, the Bronx, 1971.
- [13] J. Shohat and J. D. Tamarkin, *The Problem of Moments*, revised edition, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1950.
- [14] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Fourth Edition, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1975.